Research Monograph Series

Ontology of Kata:
A Foundational Theory of Mark, Namelessness, and Cultural Generation in Generative Topological Ontology

Author: Tomokazu Tanaka (bigakusha-haha)
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0009-3796-5889
Affiliation: Sayama Aesthetics School / Institute of Aesthetics
Version: 1.0
Last Updated: July 2026
Status: Ongoing Research

Research Monograph

Tomokazu Tanaka (bigakusha-haha)
Version 1.0 July 2026

Publication Information

Copyright

© 2026 Tomokazu Tanaka (bigakusha-haha). All rights reserved.

License

This work is distributed as a Research Monograph.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means without prior written permission of the author, except for brief quotations used in academic review or scholarly citation.

Suggested Citation

Tanaka, Tomokazu (bigakusha-haha). 2026.

Ontology of Kata:
A Foundational Theory of Mark, Namelessness, and Cultural Generation in Generative Topological Ontology

Research Monograph Series, Version 1.0.

Sayama Aesthetics School / Institute of Aesthetics.

Research Monograph

Version: 1.0

Last Updated: July 2026

Status: Ongoing Research

Abstract

This paper proposes an ontological reconstruction of the Japanese concept of Kata as one of the fundamental principles of Generative Topological Ontology (GTO). Whereas modern Western ontology has generally understood being through the categories of substance, essence, or form, this study argues that existence should instead be understood as a continuously generated topological relation emerging through interactions between the self and what is other than the self.

Previous studies in Generative Topological Ontology have clarified how existence is generated through relational interfaces. However, an important philosophical question has remained unresolved: How does existential generation persist beyond the individual and become history, culture, and civilization?

To answer this question, the present study introduces three interconnected ontological concepts: Mark, Namelessness, and Kata.

First, a Mark is defined not as a linguistic sign or symbolic representation but as the smallest ontological event through which the self and what is other than the self emerge simultaneously. A mark is therefore the beginning of existential generation rather than a medium of communication.

Second, repeated marks gradually become detached from their individual authors. This condition is defined as Namelessness. Namelessness is neither anonymity nor the disappearance of authorship. Rather, it is the ontological mode through which existential generation continues beyond individual attribution and becomes inherited by a community across generations.

Third, repeated nameless generation stabilizes into a reproducible relational architecture. This structure is defined as Kata. Kata is not a fixed form, style, or ritualized pattern. It is the ontological architecture that makes existential generation repeatable while preserving openness to future transformation.

From this perspective, Shinto sacred ropes (shimenawa), Mount Fuji worship, Buddhist mandalas, mudrā, the recitation of Namu Amida Butsu, waka poetry, the tea ceremony, martial

arts, Japanese architecture, Web Installation, QR-code-based artworks, and Interface Ontology can all be understood as different manifestations of the same generative architecture.

Furthermore, the paper reinterprets Inverse Problem Art through the ontology of Kata. Whereas existential generation proceeds from Mark → Namelessness → Kata → Form, human cognition proceeds in the reverse direction, from Form → Kata → Namelessness. Inverse Problem Art therefore becomes an architecture that enables observers to reconstruct the invisible generative structure underlying visible forms.

Rather than presenting Kata as a uniquely Japanese cultural tradition, this study proposes it as a universal ontological principle explaining how existential generation becomes historical continuity, cultural inheritance, and civilizational development.

The Ontology of Kata therefore extends Generative Topological Ontology from a theory of existential generation to a theory of generative continuity, integrating ontology, architecture, religion, art, artificial intelligence, and cultural formation into a single philosophical framework.

Keywords

Generative Topological Ontology; Kata; Mark; Namelessness; Cultural Generation; Interface Ontology; Inverse Problem Art; Pre-Humanity; Self-Precedence; Self-Externalization; Shinto; Buddhism; Waka; Architecture; Web Installation; Generative Studies

Contents

Chapter 0 1

Methodological Note

Chapter 1 3

Ontology of the Mark

Chapter 2 9

Ontology of Kata

Chapter 3 16

Ontology of Namelessness

Chapter 4 23

Kata and Japanese Culture

Chapter 5 30

Architectures of Kata

Chapter 6 36

Integration with Generative Topological Ontology

Chapter 7 43

Toward Generative Studies

Closing Statement 50

References 51

Final Statement 54

A Mark begins existence.

Research Method 55

Chapter 0

Methodological Note

The purpose of this study is not to introduce Kata merely as a traditional Japanese cultural concept.

Rather, this paper reconstructs Kata as a fundamental ontological category within Generative Topological Ontology.

Generative Topological Ontology has defined existence not as a fixed substance but as a continuously generated topological relation emerging through interactions among the self, others, and the environment.

This theoretical framework successfully explains how existence is generated.

However, an important philosophical question remains.

How does existential generation continue beyond a single event?

Individual acts of generation occur constantly.

Yet religion, architecture, language, art, ritual, and civilization persist for centuries or even millennia.

Conventional ontology generally explains this persistence through concepts such as institutions, traditions, social norms, or historical continuity.

The present study argues that these explanations describe secondary phenomena rather than the fundamental ontological condition.

More fundamentally, persistence becomes possible because existential generation gradually acquires a reproducible structure.

This paper calls that structure Kata.

Kata should not be understood as a rigid form, predetermined pattern, or ritualized behavior.

Instead,

Kata is the ontological architecture that enables existential generation to become repeatable.

Consequently, Kata belongs neither exclusively to aesthetics nor to anthropology.

It is an ontological condition through which individual existential events become historical continuity.

This paper further argues that Kata cannot emerge directly from individual action.

Between individual generation and cultural continuity lies an essential ontological mode:

Namelessness.

Generation remains private as long as it belongs to its author.

Only when authorship recedes into the background can existential generation become collectively inherited.

Namelessness therefore constitutes the ontological transition from individual existence to cultural existence.

Accordingly, the fundamental proposition of this paper can be summarized as follows:

A Mark initiates existential generation.
Namelessness allows generation to continue beyond the individual.
Kata is the ontological architecture through which such continuation becomes repeatable.

Based upon this proposition, the following chapters reinterpret religion, architecture, Japanese culture, art, Interface Ontology, Web Installation, and Inverse Problem Art as different manifestations of the same generative structure.

Chapter 1

Ontology of the Mark

1.1 What Is a Mark?

Generative Topological Ontology defines existence not as a fixed substance but as a continuously emerging topological relation among the self, what is other than the self, and the surrounding environment.

If existence is fundamentally relational, the first philosophical question is not what exists, but rather how existence begins.

This study argues that existential generation begins through what will be called a Mark.

A Mark should not be confused with a linguistic sign, a symbolic representation, or a semiotic code.

Rather,

A Mark is the smallest ontological event through which the self and what is other than the self emerge simultaneously.

A mark does not merely represent reality.

It generates a new relational topology.

Consequently, a mark is not primarily communicative.

Its primary function is ontological.

A mark initiates being.

1.2 Mark and the Generation of the Self

The self never exists independently.

The self emerges only through relations with what is other than itself.

However, these relations do not arise automatically.

They begin when the self leaves a mark upon the world.

Stacking stones.

Tying a rope around a tree.

Drawing a line upon a wall.

Writing a name.

Planting a tree.

Constructing a building.

Each of these acts may appear materially different.

Ontologically, however, they perform the same operation.

Each introduces a distinction into the world.

Before the mark,

there exists only undifferentiated coexistence.

After the mark,

both the self and what is other than the self become distinguishable.

Thus,

the mark generates both simultaneously.

Accordingly,

A mark does not produce the self alone.

A mark simultaneously generates the self and what is other than the self.

Existential generation therefore begins not from isolated subjectivity but from relational differentiation.

1.3 Mark and Nature

Marks originally arise through human encounters with nature.

Throughout history,

humans have marked stones,

mountains,

trees,

waterfalls,

rivers,

and forests.

The physical object itself does not fundamentally change.

Instead,

the relation between humans and the natural world undergoes transformation.

Consider the Shinto practice of placing a shimenawa around a sacred tree.

The tree does not become biologically different.

Rather,

the tree begins to function as an interface between the self and a larger existential whole.

Thus,

the mark does not alter the object.

The mark alters the topology of the relationship.

Nature thereby becomes experienced not merely as physical matter but as existential presence.

1.4 Mark and What Is Other Than the Self

What is other than the self should not be reduced to other human beings.

From the perspective of Generative Topological Ontology,

the "other" includes

nature,

history,

community,

language,

technology,

future generations,

the dead,

artificial intelligence,

and even the unknown.

A mark opens the self toward this larger existential field.

Consequently,

a mark functions as an ontological interface.

Rather than transmitting information,

it enables participation.

The self does not merely observe what is other than itself.

Through the mark,

the self becomes structurally related to it.

In this sense,

Interface Ontology begins not with technology,

but with the mark.

1.5 Mark and Sacredness

Within Shinto,

a sacred rope (shimenawa) does not symbolize a deity.

Nor does it magically transform an ordinary object into a sacred one.

Instead,

it establishes a new existential relation.

Likewise,

the Buddhist mudrā is not simply a ritual gesture.

It constitutes an embodied mark connecting the practitioner with the cosmic order represented by the mandala.

Similarly,

the recitation of Namu Amida Butsu does not merely communicate religious belief.

The utterance itself becomes a mark through which the practitioner enters a new existential relation with Amida Buddha.

Although these practices differ historically and institutionally,

their ontological function is identical.

Each serves as a mark opening the self toward an existential whole that exceeds individual subjectivity.

Accordingly,

sacredness should not be understood as an intrinsic property of an object.

Rather,

Sacredness is a topological relation generated through the operation of the mark.

1.6 From Mark to Namelessness

A single mark does not yet constitute culture.

Initially,

every mark belongs to a particular individual.

Someone first tied the sacred rope.

Someone first sang the poem.

Someone first traced the symbol.

Someone first built the shrine.

Yet,

as generations pass,

the identity of the individual gradually recedes.

The practice continues,

while the author disappears from collective consciousness.

Importantly,

this disappearance does not negate authorship.

Instead,

it liberates existential generation from individual ownership.

The mark is no longer sustained by a person.

It is sustained by the community itself.

This ontological transition is called Namelessness.

Namelessness therefore represents neither anonymity nor oblivion.

It is the mode through which existential generation becomes historically inheritable.

Consequently,

the mark constitutes the beginning of existential generation,

while Namelessness constitutes the beginning of cultural generation.

Only after this transition can existential generation stabilize into a reproducible architecture.

That architecture will be called Kata.

Thus,

the movement developed in this chapter may be summarized as follows:

A Mark initiates existential generation.

Namelessness liberates generation from individual ownership.

Kata enables that liberated generation to become repeatable across history.

This sequence forms the ontological foundation upon which the remainder of this study is constructed.

Chapter 2

Ontology of Kata

2.1 What Is Kata?

The central concept of this study is Kata.

Although the term is often translated into English as form, pattern, style, or ritual, none of these expressions adequately captures its ontological significance.

Throughout this paper, the Japanese word Kata is therefore retained without translation.

Kata does not designate a fixed external form.

Nor does it refer merely to a prescribed sequence of actions.

Instead,

Kata is the ontological architecture through which existential generation becomes repeatable.

Accordingly, Kata should not be understood as the result of generation.

Rather,

Kata constitutes one of the conditions that allows existential generation to continue beyond the individual.

A single event of existential generation does not yet become culture.

Kata makes existential generation repeatable.

2.2 Kata and Form

To clarify this distinction,

it is necessary to differentiate Kata from Form.

A Form is the visible manifestation of a particular existential event.

Every form is singular.

Every form belongs to a specific time,

place,

body,

and circumstance.

Kata,

however,

is not identical with any particular form.

Instead,

Kata is the relational structure through which infinitely many different forms may emerge.

Thus,

Form is observable.

Kata is not.

Form is actual.

Kata is generative.

Form is finite.

Kata remains open.

Consequently,

human beings first encounter forms.

Only afterward may they recognize the Kata underlying those forms.

Ontologically,

however,

Kata precedes every individual form.

2.3 Kata as Repetition Without Sameness

Kata is frequently misunderstood as repetition.

This interpretation remains insufficient.

Ordinary repetition presupposes identical reproduction.

Kata does not.

No tea ceremony is ever identical.

No martial movement is ever repeated exactly.

No architectural construction is perfectly reproduced.

No poem is ever composed under identical conditions.

Nevertheless,

each remains recognizable as the same Kata.

Therefore,

Kata preserves continuity without requiring identity.

Difference is not the failure of Kata.

Difference is the very condition through which Kata remains alive.

Accordingly,

Kata is the architecture that enables continual existential generation through irreversible difference.

This distinguishes Kata from mechanical repetition.

Machines reproduce identical outputs.

Kata generates new existence.

2.4 Kata and Embodiment

Kata cannot be reduced to explicit knowledge.

It exists primarily through embodied practice.

One does not fully understand Kata before acting.

Rather,

understanding gradually emerges through repeated participation.

This explains why Japanese cultural traditions emphasize bodily practice over conceptual explanation.

Tea ceremony.

Noh theatre.

Martial arts.

Craftsmanship.

Architecture.

Gardening.

Each transmits Kata not through abstract instruction but through lived embodiment.

The body therefore does not merely execute Kata.

The body gradually becomes Kata.

Consequently,

Kata belongs neither exclusively to cognition nor exclusively to physical movement.

It constitutes an existential mode integrating body,

environment,

history,

and community.

2.5 Kata and Namelessness

Kata cannot belong permanently to a single individual.

As long as existential generation remains personal property,

it cannot become Kata.

Only after authorship gradually recedes does existential generation stabilize into a reproducible structure.

This observation leads to one of the central propositions of this paper.

Without recognizing Namelessness, one cannot recognize Kata.

Human beings initially perceive visible forms.

They then attribute those forms to particular creators.

Only later,

through deeper existential understanding,

do they recognize the anonymous continuity underlying individual acts.

That continuity is Kata.

Thus,

Kata is not simply inherited tradition.

Rather,

Kata is Namelessness rendered structurally repeatable.

Namelessness therefore does not follow Kata.

Namelessness constitutes the ontological condition through which Kata becomes possible.

2.6 Kata and Inverse Problem Art

The ontology of Kata provides a new philosophical foundation for Inverse Problem Art.

Previous studies defined Inverse Problem Art as artistic practice that reconstructs causes from visible results.

The present study develops this argument further.

Ontology proceeds in the following order:

Mark → Namelessness → Kata → Form

Human cognition,

however,

moves inversely:

Form → Kata → Namelessness

Observers first encounter visible forms.

From those forms,

they infer the invisible Kata.

Through Kata,

they eventually recognize the Namelessness sustaining historical continuity.

Consequently,

Inverse Problem Art should not be understood merely as artistic ambiguity.

Instead,

Inverse Problem Art is an ontological architecture through which observers regenerate Kata and ultimately encounter Namelessness.

Art therefore does not simply present meaning.

It generates existential recognition.

2.7 Kata as Ontological Architecture

The foregoing discussion allows a comprehensive definition.

Kata is the ontological architecture through which existential generation, initiated by a Mark and liberated through Namelessness, becomes historically repeatable within a community.

This definition distinguishes Kata from style,

custom,

ritual,

institution,

or tradition.

Each of these may express Kata,

but none is identical with it.

Kata exists at a deeper ontological level.

It is the condition through which existential generation acquires temporal continuity,

collective inheritance,

and historical persistence.

Accordingly,

Kata should be understood neither as cultural heritage nor as formal structure.

Rather,

Kata is the generative architecture through which existence becomes history,

history becomes culture,

and culture remains open to future generation.

For this reason,

Kata occupies a foundational position within Generative Topological Ontology.

If Marks initiate existential generation,

and Namelessness enables existential continuity,

then Kata is the architecture through which existential continuity becomes historically reproducible.

Thus,

Kata is not merely one concept among others.

It is the ontological principle that allows being to endure beyond the limits of individual existence.

Chapter 3

Ontology of Namelessness

3.1 What Is Namelessness?

The concept of Namelessness occupies a central position within the present study.

Conventionally, namelessness is understood negatively.

It is regarded as the absence of a name,

the loss of identity,

or anonymous existence.

Such interpretations remain insufficient.

They define namelessness merely by what it lacks.

This study proposes a fundamentally different understanding.

Namelessness is the ontological mode through which existential generation continues beyond individual attribution.

Accordingly,

Namelessness is not the disappearance of the individual.

Nor is it the denial of authorship.

Rather,

it is the condition through which existential generation becomes independent of its original creator while remaining historically effective.

The individual does not vanish.

Instead,

the generative act exceeds the individual.

Namelessness therefore marks the transition from personal existence to historical existence.

3.2 From Mark to Namelessness

Every cultural practice begins with a particular act.

Someone first tied a sacred rope around a tree.

Someone first composed a poem.

Someone first built a shrine.

Someone first traced a symbol upon stone.

Every historical tradition originates in an individual event.

Yet,

history rarely preserves the original individual.

Instead,

the practice itself survives.

What persists is not the author.

What persists is existential generation.

Consequently,

the mark gradually separates from its individual source.

The practice becomes collectively inherited.

This ontological transformation constitutes Namelessness.

Importantly,

the author is not erased.

Rather,

individual attribution becomes secondary to existential continuity.

The mark now belongs to history.

3.3 Namelessness and Self-Precedence

Previous studies introduced the concept of Self-Precedence to describe the existential dimension preceding the formation of self-conscious identity.

The present study expands this concept.

Human beings are born into worlds already structured by language,

architecture,

ritual,

technology,

social practices,

and cultural memory.

None of these begins with the individual.

Each precedes individual existence.

More importantly,

their origins are largely nameless.

Children learn language without knowing its original creator.

Architects inhabit traditions older than themselves.

Artists inherit techniques whose first practitioners have long disappeared.

Thus,

Self-Precedence should not be understood merely as developmental psychology.

It represents an ontological condition.

The self always emerges within a field of inherited Namelessness.

Consequently,

the self never begins absolutely.

It always begins within a world already generated by others.

3.4 Namelessness and Shinto

Shinto provides a particularly illuminating example of Namelessness.

Sacred trees,

rocks,

mountains,

waterfalls,

and forests are rarely associated with identifiable founders.

No one asks who first declared Mount Fuji sacred.

No one remembers who first tied the initial sacred rope.

Nevertheless,

the practices continue.

This continuity is not accidental.

It results from Namelessness.

Sacredness therefore does not originate in supernatural intervention.

Nor does it originate in historical authority.

Instead,

sacredness emerges through generations of anonymous existential participation.

Accordingly,

Shinto may be understood as one of the most sophisticated cultural manifestations of Namelessness.

Nature becomes sacred not because it possesses intrinsic holiness,

but because existential relations have been continually regenerated without dependence upon individual ownership.

3.5 Namelessness and Cultural Generation

Culture should not be understood as the accumulation of individual works.

Every culture certainly begins with individual acts.

Yet,

individual creativity alone cannot explain cultural continuity.

A work remains private as long as it belongs exclusively to its creator.

Culture begins only when existential generation becomes collectively repeatable.

Namelessness therefore constitutes the ontological threshold separating individual creation from civilization.

Culture is not simply preserved.

Culture continuously regenerates itself through Namelessness.

Accordingly,

Culture is the historical manifestation of Nameless existential generation.

This understanding transforms the meaning of tradition.

Tradition is not the preservation of the past.

Tradition is the continuous regeneration of Namelessness.

3.6 Recognizing Namelessness and Recognizing Kata

The previous chapter established Kata as the ontological architecture of repeatable existential generation.

The present chapter clarifies the existential condition under which Kata becomes recognizable.

The following proposition summarizes the argument:

Without recognizing Namelessness, one cannot recognize Kata.

Initially,

human beings perceive visible forms.

Subsequently,

they attribute those forms to individual creators.

Only through deeper existential reflection do they perceive the anonymous continuity sustaining those forms across generations.

That continuity is Kata.

Consequently,

Kata is not simply inherited technique.

It is the structural manifestation of Namelessness.

Recognition therefore develops inversely to existential generation.

Existential generation proceeds:

Mark → Namelessness → Kata → Form

Human recognition proceeds:

Form → Kata → Namelessness

This inversion explains the philosophical significance of Inverse Problem Art.

Inverse Problem Art does not merely invite interpretation.

It reconstructs the invisible ontological conditions underlying visible existence.

The observer begins with form,

discovers Kata,

and ultimately encounters Namelessness.

Art thereby becomes an existential practice rather than an aesthetic object.

3.7 Namelessness as Historical Being

The foregoing discussion allows a comprehensive definition.

Namelessness is the ontological mode through which existential generation becomes historically continuous without dependence upon individual attribution.

Through Namelessness,

individual action becomes communal practice.

Communal practice becomes Kata.

Kata becomes culture.

Culture becomes civilization.

Thus,

Namelessness is neither anonymity nor historical forgetfulness.

It is the existential condition through which history itself becomes possible.

Without Namelessness,

existence remains confined to the individual.

With Namelessness,

existence acquires historical duration.

For this reason,

Namelessness occupies a foundational position within Generative Topological Ontology.

If the Mark initiates existential generation,

and Kata stabilizes existential continuity,

then Namelessness is the ontological transition through which individual existence becomes historical existence.

Accordingly,

Namelessness is not merely one philosophical concept among many.

It is the existential mode through which being becomes inheritance,

inheritance becomes culture,

and culture becomes history.

Chapter 4

Kata and Japanese Culture

4.1 Culture as the Repetition of Kata

The previous chapters established Kata as the ontological architecture through which existential generation becomes historically repeatable.

This definition fundamentally transforms the meaning of culture.

Culture should not be understood as the accumulation of artifacts,

nor as the preservation of traditions.

Rather,

Culture is the continual regeneration of existential relations through Kata.

Every generation performs Kata differently.

Every historical period transforms its expression.

Yet,

the generative relation itself continues.

Culture therefore exists neither in objects nor in institutions alone.

Culture exists in the continual repetition of Kata.

Accordingly,

culture is not static continuity.

It is dynamic regeneration.

4.2 Kata and Za

In Japanese culture, Za is not merely a place.

Za is a relational configuration in which a community enacts Kata.

Participants enter Za and acquire relational positions.

Za does not simply contain individuals.

It is a Kata through which individual positions are generated.

A tea gathering.

A poetry gathering.

A Noh stage.

A ritual.

A festival.

Each can constitute a Za.

Their significance does not arise from physical space alone.

Instead,

they function as relational settings in which Kata becomes embodied.

Thus,

Za should be understood as

a generative structure through which participation takes form.

Kata becomes enacted in Za,

while participation in Za generates relational positions.

The two therefore remain mutually constitutive.

4.3 Kata and Position (Kurai)

A similar relation appears in the Japanese concept of Kurai (position or rank).

Modern political thought often understands rank as hierarchy.

This interpretation remains incomplete.

Within Japanese culture,

Kurai is fundamentally relational.

Teacher.

Disciple.

Host.

Guest.

Priest.

Craftsperson.

These are not permanent identities.

They are existential positions generated through participation in Kata.

Consequently,

people do not simply possess positions.

Rather,

positions generate people.

The individual temporarily embodies a relational position within an ongoing Kata.

When the individual disappears,

the position remains.

Thus,

Kurai belongs not to personal identity,

but to existential continuity.

4.4 The Imperial Throne and Shirasu

This perspective also illuminates the Japanese Imperial institution.

The significance of the Imperial Throne does not rest solely upon the individual Emperor.

Instead,

its continuity depends upon the enduring Kata through which the institution has been transmitted across generations.

The throne therefore represents not merely political succession,

but existential continuity.

Its authority derives from historical inheritance rather than personal ownership.

The traditional concept of Shirasu may likewise be reconsidered.

Conventionally,

Shirasu is contrasted with domination based upon possession.

From the perspective of Generative Topological Ontology,

however,

Shirasu is neither merely a political principle nor an ethical ideal.

Rather,

Shirasu is the Kata through which the relation between the community and the world continually regenerates itself.

Governance therefore becomes less an exercise of power than an architecture sustaining existential relations.

4.5 Waka as Generative Kata

Waka poetry has frequently been interpreted as literary expression.

This interpretation remains limited.

The essential significance of Waka lies not in its literary form,

but in its existential function.

The thirty-one-syllable structure does not merely organize language.

It generates relations between human beings and nature.

Mountains,

flowers,

wind,

snow,

moonlight,

and seasons

are not passive objects of description.

Through Waka,

they become participants in existential generation.

Consequently,

Waka is not simply poetry.

It is a linguistic Kata.

Its purpose is not self-expression.

Its purpose is to regenerate existential relations between the self and what exceeds the self.

4.6 Religion as Kata

The ontology of Kata also provides a common philosophical foundation for Japanese religious traditions.

Shinto sacred ropes.

Buddhist mudrā.

Mandalas.

The recitation of Namu Amida Butsu.

Although historically distinct,

their ontological operation remains identical.

Each constitutes a Kata through which the self enters into relation with an existential whole exceeding individual subjectivity.

Religion therefore should not first be understood through doctrine.

Rather,

religion should be understood through existential practice.

Belief alone cannot sustain civilization.

Kata can.

Accordingly,

religious continuity depends less upon theological propositions than upon embodied participation in Kata.

4.7 Architecture as Kata

Japanese architecture offers another manifestation of Kata.

Modern architecture often emphasizes objects,

forms,

and visual composition.

Traditional Japanese architecture emphasizes something different.

The relation among materials,

craftsmanship,

environment,

and human activity.

The Japanese concept of osamari (fitting or proper settlement) illustrates this difference.

Osamari cannot be completely specified in architectural drawings.

It emerges during construction through embodied interaction among craftspeople,

materials,

weather,

and place.

Architecture therefore does not merely construct buildings.

It continually generates existential relations.

Consequently,

architecture should be understood not as the production of form,

but as the realization of Kata.

4.8 Japan as a Civilization of Kata

The preceding discussions suggest a broader philosophical conclusion.

Japan should not simply be described as possessing many cultural traditions.

More fundamentally,

Japanese civilization has historically organized existential continuity through Kata.

Religion,

poetry,

architecture,

craftsmanship,

ceremony,

governance,

and everyday life

all participate in this common generative principle.

Thus,

Japan may be understood as

a civilization organized through the continual regeneration of Kata.

This conclusion does not claim that Kata belongs exclusively to Japan.

Rather,

Japanese civilization provides one of history's most extensive realizations of Kata as an existential architecture.

The philosophical task of this study is therefore not to preserve Japanese uniqueness.

It is to demonstrate that Kata constitutes a universal ontological principle explaining how existential generation becomes cultural continuity,

historical inheritance,

and ultimately civilization itself.

Chapter 5

Architectures of Kata

5.1 From Artwork to Architecture

Modern aesthetics has generally regarded the artwork as the central unit of artistic production.

A work of art is created by an individual artist,

presented as a completed object,

and subsequently interpreted by viewers.

Within this framework,

art is primarily understood through the relationship between creator,

object,

and audience.

The ontology of Kata proposes a fundamentally different perspective.

The essential purpose of art is not the production of autonomous objects.

Rather,

Art establishes the conditions through which existential generation becomes repeatable.

Consequently,

the artwork itself is not the primary ontological entity.

Instead,

the artwork functions as a temporary manifestation of a more fundamental generative architecture.

Art should therefore be understood less as object production than as architectural generation.

5.2 Architecture Beyond Buildings

Throughout this study,

the term architecture does not refer exclusively to buildings.

Architecture designates any structure that enables existential relations to continue through time.

A shrine.

A website.

A ritual.

A social institution.

A digital platform.

An educational environment.

Each may function architecturally.

Architecture therefore belongs not to construction alone,

but to ontology.

Accordingly,

Architecture is the ontological interface through which Kata becomes socially sustainable.

Architecture neither produces existence nor determines existence.

Instead,

it continually enables existential generation.

5.3 Web Installation

The concept of Web Installation exemplifies this understanding.

Web Installation should not be interpreted simply as internet-based art,

nor as digital exhibition.

Instead,

Web Installation constructs an interface through which relations among physical space,

digital space,

memory,

history,

and human participation continually regenerate themselves.

The website itself is not the artwork.

The QR code itself is not the artwork.

The display itself is not the artwork.

Rather,

each functions as an interface sustaining Kata.

Consequently,

Web Installation does not present completed meaning.

It establishes conditions under which new existential relations continually emerge.

In this sense,

Web Installation represents an architecture of Kata.

5.4 Sayama Aesthetics School

The project known as Sayama Aesthetics School may likewise be understood through the ontology of Kata.

At first glance,

it appears to be a guesthouse,

an exhibition space,

or an educational institution.

Ontologically,

however,

it is none of these alone.

Its primary function is to create an environment in which existential relations continually regenerate through encounters among visitors,

artists,

researchers,

residents,

and the surrounding community.

No single exhibition defines the project.

No single event completes it.

Instead,

the architecture itself continually generates new relations.

Sayama Aesthetics School therefore exemplifies an architecture through which Kata becomes embodied in everyday life.

5.5 QR Codes as Marks

Within contemporary technological society,

the QR code represents an especially significant example of the Mark.

Technically,

a QR code stores information.

Ontologically,

its function is much deeper.

A QR code initiates transition.

The observer scans the code.

The smartphone opens another space.

Physical existence becomes digitally extended.

The QR code therefore does not merely communicate information.

It generates a new topological relation.

Repeated throughout society,

this operation gradually becomes normalized.

The QR code ceases to function merely as a technological device.

Instead,

it becomes a Kata organizing relations between physical and digital existence.

5.6 Graffiti and Urban Kata

Graffiti likewise acquires a different meaning within this framework.

Conventionally,

graffiti is interpreted either as artistic expression or as vandalism.

Both interpretations remain centered upon individual intention.

The ontology of Kata proposes another perspective.

Every graffiti mark initially belongs to an individual.

Yet over time,

individual authors disappear.

Walls accumulate traces.

Cities acquire memory.

Successive generations respond to previous marks.

Urban space itself gradually becomes transformed.

Thus,

graffiti should not be understood primarily as individual expression.

Rather,

it constitutes a process through which anonymous existential generation continually reshapes urban topology.

The city itself becomes an architecture of Kata.

5.7 Interface Ontology Revisited

Previous studies proposed Interface Ontology as the philosophical framework explaining how existence emerges through relations rather than isolated entities.

The ontology of Kata extends this argument.

Interfaces do not merely connect existing beings.

Interfaces stabilize existential generation.

Consequently,

an interface should be understood as

the architectural realization of Kata.

Marks initiate relation.

Namelessness liberates relation from individual ownership.

Kata stabilizes relation.

Architecture enables Kata to endure.

The interface therefore becomes the concrete site where existential continuity is continually regenerated.

5.8 Architecture as the Realization of Kata

The discussions above permit a comprehensive philosophical definition.

Architecture is the ontological realization through which Kata becomes continuously embodied across space, time, and community.

Buildings,

digital networks,

rituals,

educational institutions,

urban environments,

and artistic practices all participate in this broader architectural function.

Consequently,

the task of the artist is not primarily to produce objects.

Nor is it merely to express subjective experience.

The task of the artist is

to design architectures through which existential generation may continue beyond the individual.

This understanding fundamentally transforms the meaning of artistic practice.

Art becomes neither production nor representation.

Art becomes the continual construction of architectures through which Marks become Namelessness, Namelessness becomes Kata,

and Kata continually generates new forms of existence.

Accordingly, architecture is not simply one artistic discipline among others.

It is the ontological realization of generative continuity itself.

Chapter 6

Integration with Generative Topological Ontology

6.1 Kata as the Principle of Generative Continuity

The preceding chapters have defined Kata as the ontological architecture through which existential generation becomes historically repeatable.

This definition extends the theoretical scope of Generative Topological Ontology (GTO).

Previous studies established that existence is not a fixed substance but a topological relation continuously generated through interactions among the self, what is other than the self, and the environment.

The central philosophical question was therefore:

How does existence come into being?

The present study introduces a complementary question:

How does existential generation continue beyond its original occurrence?

Generation alone cannot explain civilization.

Every existential event is singular.

Civilizations, however,

persist for centuries.

Accordingly,

another ontological principle is required.

This principle is Kata.

Kata neither replaces existential generation nor exists independently of it.

Instead,

Kata enables existential generation to remain historically productive.

Thus,

Generative Topological Ontology expands from a theory of existential emergence into a theory of generative continuity.

6.2 Self-Externalization and Kata

Previous work on Self-Externalization argued that the self becomes actual only by externalizing itself toward what is other than itself.

The present study extends this argument.

Self-externalization should not be limited to artistic production.

Every existential act leaving a mark upon the world constitutes self-externalization.

Constructing architecture.

Writing poetry.

Performing rituals.

Practicing martial arts.

Cultivating gardens.

Building communities.

Developing technologies.

Each externalizes the self.

Yet individual externalization alone cannot generate culture.

Culture appears only when externalized existence becomes collectively repeatable.

Consequently,

Kata is the historical continuation of self-externalization.

The self externalizes itself through the Mark.

Namelessness liberates this externalization from individual ownership.

Kata stabilizes it as collective practice.

Thus,

Kata represents the communal dimension of self-externalization.

6.3 Pre-Humanity, Self-Precedence, and Kata

Earlier studies introduced the concepts of Pre-Humanity and Self-Precedence to describe existential structures preceding individual consciousness.

The ontology of Kata deepens these concepts.

Every human being is born into a world already structured by Kata.

Language.

Walking.

Architecture.

Ritual.

Technology.

Religion.

Art.

None originates with the individual.

Rather,

each constitutes a historically inherited Kata.

Therefore,

Pre-Humanity and Self-Precedence should be understood not merely as temporal precedence,

but as participation within already existing generative architectures.

The self does not invent Kata.

The self enters Kata.

Only through participation does the self gradually transform Kata while simultaneously being transformed by it.

Thus,

precedence itself becomes an existential participation rather than chronological priority.

6.4 Inverse Problem Art Reconsidered

The ontology of Kata fundamentally redefines Inverse Problem Art.

Earlier studies described Inverse Problem Art as artistic practice reconstructing invisible causes from visible results.

The present study specifies the ontological structure underlying this process.

Existential generation unfolds as follows:

Mark → Namelessness → Kata → Form

Human cognition proceeds inversely:

Form → Kata → Namelessness

Consequently,

Inverse Problem Art should no longer be understood merely as interpretive openness.

Instead,

it constructs an existential architecture enabling observers to regenerate the invisible conditions underlying visible existence.

Art therefore functions neither as representation nor communication.

Rather,

it becomes an architecture generating existential recognition.

The observer does not simply understand a work.

The observer reenacts the ontology of generation itself.

6.5 Language Derivatives and Kata

The Theory of Language Derivatives proposed that language should not be understood as a closed system of meanings.

Instead,

language constitutes a derivative space through which future possibilities become folded into present existence.

The ontology of Kata complements this theory.

Language itself functions as Kata.

Grammar.

Poetic rhythm.

Religious recitation.

Writing systems.

Narrative.

Conversation.

Each provides an architecture enabling continual linguistic generation.

Thus,

language does not merely preserve meanings.

Language continually regenerates existential relations.

Meaning therefore emerges through participation in linguistic Kata rather than through static semantic correspondence.

Accordingly,

Language Derivatives may be interpreted as the linguistic realization of Kata.

6.6 Toward an Integrated Framework of Generative Studies

The preceding discussions allow a systematic organization of Generative Studies.

Foundational Theory I

Axioms of Generative Topological Ontology

Primary Question:

How does existence emerge?

Foundational Theory II

Ontology of Kata

Primary Question:

How does existential generation continue historically?

Foundational Theory III

Ontology of Namelessness

Primary Question:

How does existential continuity become cultural inheritance?

These three theories should not be regarded as independent philosophical systems.

Instead,

they describe successive dimensions of the same ontological process.

Existence emerges.

Existence continues.

Existence becomes history.

History becomes culture.

Culture becomes civilization.

6.7 From Ontology to Generative Civilization

The present study therefore proposes a significant expansion of Generative Topological Ontology.

Ontology should not terminate with the emergence of being.

Being necessarily extends toward historical continuity,

collective inheritance,

and civilizational formation.

Accordingly,

Generative Studies may now be understood as

the philosophical investigation of how existential generation becomes historical continuity, cultural inheritance, and civilizational architecture.

Within this expanded framework,

Kata occupies a uniquely foundational position.

Marks initiate generation.

Namelessness enables historical continuity.

Kata stabilizes continuity into repeatable architecture.

Architecture realizes Kata within society.

Thus,

Kata should not be understood as a secondary cultural concept.

Rather,

it is the ontological principle through which generated existence becomes historically sustainable.

For this reason,

Ontology of Kata does not supplement Generative Topological Ontology.

It extends its theoretical horizon from the ontology of emergence to the ontology of continuity,

thereby establishing a second foundational pillar of Generative Studies.

Chapter 7

Toward Generative Studies

7.1 From Ontology to the Study of Generation

The purpose of this study has not been merely to redefine the Japanese concept of Kata.

Rather,

it has been to reveal a fundamental ontological principle through which existential generation becomes historical continuity.

This principle extends beyond ontology.

It reaches architecture,

religion,

art,

technology,

language,

education,

community,

and civilization.

Accordingly,

the scope of Generative Topological Ontology must also expand.

Ontology alone cannot explain the continuity of existence.

Being is never isolated.

Every existential event immediately enters history.

History becomes culture.

Culture becomes civilization.

Generative Studies therefore emerges as the philosophical investigation of this entire process.

7.2 Kata and Artificial Intelligence

The rapid development of artificial intelligence raises an important ontological question.

Can artificial intelligence generate Kata?

Current AI systems successfully reproduce forms.

They generate texts,

images,

music,

and software with remarkable precision.

However,

the ontology of Kata distinguishes between producing forms and participating in existential continuity.

AI generates outputs.

Kata generates historical participation.

Human beings inherit Kata through embodied practice,

community,

history,

and irreversible interaction with the world.

These existential dimensions cannot simply be reduced to information processing.

Consequently,

future AI research should not be limited to improving representation.

Instead,

it should investigate whether artificial systems can participate in the continual regeneration of existential architectures.

The philosophical issue therefore shifts from intelligence to participation.

7.3 Rethinking Art

The ontology of Kata fundamentally transforms aesthetics.

Modern aesthetics frequently defines art through objects,

beauty,

representation,

or expression.

The present study proposes another definition.

Art is the creation of architectures through which existential generation continues beyond the individual.

Individual artworks remain important.

Yet their ultimate significance lies elsewhere.

A successful artwork does not merely communicate meaning.

It establishes conditions under which future generations may continue existential generation.

Accordingly,

art should no longer be understood primarily as production.

Art should be understood as architectural design.

This perspective integrates

Web Installation,

Interface Ontology,

Inverse Problem Art,

and Life as Art into a single philosophical framework.

Each constructs architectures enabling existential participation rather than aesthetic consumption.

7.4 Japanese Civilization Reconsidered

This study has interpreted Japanese civilization through the concept of Kata.

This interpretation should not be misunderstood as cultural exceptionalism.

The claim is not that Kata belongs exclusively to Japan.

Rather,

Japanese civilization provides one of history's richest realizations of Kata as an existential architecture.

Shinto.

Buddhism.

Waka.

Tea ceremony.

Martial arts.

Architecture.

Craftsmanship.

The Imperial tradition.

Shirasu.

Each represents a distinct historical manifestation of the same generative principle.

Consequently,

Japanese civilization may be understood not merely as a collection of traditions,

but as

a civilization organized through the continual regeneration of existential relations.

This interpretation transforms Japanese cultural practices into philosophical resources of universal significance.

7.5 Kata and the Future

Kata is frequently associated with preservation.

The present study proposes the opposite interpretation.

Kata does not preserve the past.

Kata generates the future.

Every performance differs.

Every generation transforms inherited practice.

Every repetition introduces irreversible novelty.

Accordingly,

Kata should not be understood as conservative structure.

Rather,

Kata is the architecture through which the future continually becomes possible.

Tradition therefore does not oppose creativity.

Tradition generates creativity.

The future is produced not by abandoning Kata,

but by continually regenerating it.

7.6 The Future of Generative Studies

The ontology of Kata opens several new directions for philosophical inquiry.

Future Generative Studies may investigate

the ontology of technology,

the ontology of architecture,

the ontology of education,

the ontology of artificial intelligence,

the ontology of civilization,

and the ontology of planetary coexistence.

Each of these fields concerns the same philosophical question.

How does existential generation continue beyond individual existence?

This study proposes that Kata provides the common ontological principle connecting these diverse domains.

Consequently,

Generative Studies should be understood not simply as another philosophical school,

but as an interdisciplinary framework integrating ontology,

architecture,

aesthetics,

religion,

AI,

cultural theory,

and civilizational studies.

7.7 Final Reflection

Throughout this study,

three interconnected ontological principles have been established.

A Mark initiates existential generation.

Namelessness liberates existential generation from individual ownership.

Kata enables existential generation to become historically repeatable.

Together,

these concepts redefine the meaning of existence itself.

Existence is not simply generated.

Existence continues.

Existence becomes inheritance.

Inheritance becomes culture.

Culture becomes civilization.

The philosophical significance of Kata therefore extends far beyond traditional Japanese aesthetics.

Kata is neither style,

nor ritual,

nor formal repetition.

Instead,

Kata is the ontological architecture through which existential generation remains open across time.

Consequently,

the ultimate purpose of this study is not to preserve Japanese tradition.

Nor is it merely to reinterpret Japanese philosophy.

Its purpose is to propose a universal ontological principle explaining how existence survives individual finitude without becoming static.

In this sense,

the ontology of Kata marks a new stage in the development of Generative Topological Ontology.

If the Axioms of Generative Topological Ontology clarified how existence comes into being,

the Ontology of Kata clarifies how existential generation endures as culture, history, and civilization.

Together with the Ontology of Namelessness,

these theories establish the philosophical basis of Generative Studies.

The future of philosophy, therefore, may lie not only in asking what being is, but also in understanding how being continues.

Closing Statement

A Mark begins existence.

Namelessness liberates existence from the individual.

Kata allows existence to endure.

Through Kata, generation becomes inheritance.

Through inheritance, existence becomes history.

Through history, humanity continually recreates the world.

This is the central philosophical thesis of Ontology of Kata.

References

Primary Works by the Author

Tanaka, T. (bigakusha-haha). Axioms of Generative Topological Ontology: A Foundational Theory of Existential Generation. Research Memorandum, Version 1.0, 2026.

Tanaka, T. (bigakusha-haha). The Limits of Self-Externalization and Existential Generation: Interface Ontology in Generative Topological Ontology. Research Memorandum, Version 0.9, 2026.

Tanaka, T. (bigakusha-haha). Pre-Humanity and Self-Precedence: An Ontology of Self-Proof in Generative Topological Ontology. Research Memorandum, 2026.

Tanaka, T. (bigakusha-haha). Ontology of Namelessness. Research Memorandum, 2026.

Tanaka, T. (bigakusha-haha). The Theory of Language Derivatives: Inverse Problem Art and Leverage Structures in Artificial Intelligence Hallucination. Research Memorandum, Version 1.0, 2026.

Tanaka, T. (bigakusha-haha). The Dynamics of Evaluation, Proof, and Value: Leverage Structures and Particular Contingency in Generative Topological Ontology. Research Memorandum, 2026.

Tanaka, T. (bigakusha-haha). Ontology of Kata: A Foundational Theory of Mark, Namelessness, and Cultural Generation in Generative Topological Ontology. Research Memorandum, Version 1.0, 2026.

Philosophy

Arendt, H. The Human Condition. University of Chicago Press, 1958.

Cassirer, E. An Essay on Man. Yale University Press, 1944.

Deleuze, G. Difference and Repetition. Columbia University Press, 1994.

Heidegger, M. Being and Time. Trans. John Macquarrie and Edward Robinson. Harper & Row, 1962.

Heidegger, M. Identity and Difference. Harper & Row, 1969.

Merleau-Ponty, M. Phenomenology of Perception. Routledge, 2012.

Nishida, K. An Inquiry into the Good. Yale University Press, 1990.

Nishitani, K. Religion and Nothingness. University of California Press, 1983.

Peirce, C. S. Collected Papers of Charles Sanders Peirce. Harvard University Press.

Whitehead, A. N. Process and Reality. Free Press, 1978.

Wittgenstein, L. Philosophical Investigations. Wiley-Blackwell, 2009.

Japanese Religion and Buddhism

Dōgen. Shōbōgenzō.

Kūkai. The Ten Stages of the Development of Mind.

Kūkai. The Meaning of Becoming a Buddha in This Very Body (Sokushin Jōbutsugi).

Shinran. Kyōgyōshinshō.

Ueda, S. Zen and Philosophy.

Anthropology and Cultural Theory

Alexander, C. The Timeless Way of Building. Oxford University Press, 1979.

Geertz, C. The Interpretation of Cultures. Basic Books, 1973.

Ingold, T. Making: Anthropology, Archaeology, Art and Architecture. Routledge, 2013.

Lévi-Strauss, C. The Savage Mind. University of Chicago Press, 1966.

Turner, V. The Ritual Process. Aldine Transaction, 1969.

Architecture

Alexander, C. A Pattern Language. Oxford University Press, 1977.

Frampton, K. Studies in Tectonic Culture. MIT Press, 1995.

Norberg-Schulz, C. Genius Loci: Towards a Phenomenology of Architecture. Rizzoli, 1980.

Pallasmaa, J. The Eyes of the Skin. Wiley, 2012.

Artificial Intelligence and Information

Floridi, L. The Philosophy of Information. Oxford University Press, 2011.

Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., & Courville, A. Deep Learning. MIT Press, 2016.

Russell, S., & Norvig, P. Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach. Pearson, 2021.

Final Statement

A Mark begins existence.

Namelessness liberates existence from individual attribution.

Kata enables existential generation to endure.

Through Kata, generation becomes inheritance.

Through inheritance, existence becomes history.

Through history, humanity continually regenerates its world.

This is the central philosophical thesis of Ontology of Kata.

Research Method

This text is published as a Research Memorandum within the continuing development of Generative Topological Ontology.

The concepts, definitions, hypotheses, and arguments presented here record the theoretical position reached at the time of writing and publication. They should not be understood as a finally established theoretical system. They may be expanded, revised, redefined, or reorganized through future research, artistic practice, interaction with reality, academic dialogue, and peer review.

This research does not regard only completed theories as legitimate research outcomes. It also treats the processes through which concepts emerge, become interconnected, undergo transformation, and are integrated into a theoretical structure as significant objects of inquiry. Accordingly, this memorandum should be understood not merely as an incomplete draft, but as a record of a particular phase in the generation of the theory.

The public release of a Research Memorandum is not intended to present a developing theory prematurely as a completed one. Rather, it is a method of documenting the generative processes of thought and practice, enabling their subsequent examination and reconstruction, and opening them to academic and artistic dialogue from different perspectives.

If the contents of this memorandum are subsequently developed into a peer-reviewed article, scholarly monograph, or other formal research output, the finalized work will be identified as a separate and fixed document.

When citing or referring to this memorandum, please include the title, author, version number, and date of the most recent update. Different versions may contain substantive differences in concepts, definitions, and lines of argument.

This Research Memorandum is not a final conclusion. It is one theoretical position reached within the continuing formation of Generative Topological Ontology and, at the same time, an interface open to further generation.